

## Researcher Development Fund Assessment Criteria

Please review the applications assigned to you and assess in accordance to the excel assessment sheet.

Please alert the administrator should there be any conflicts of interest that may arise from reviewing any application.

The **CRITERIA** by which the applications should be assessed have been categorised as follows:

- 1. Value for Money** – consider whether the requested resources/funding are appropriate and have been fully justified.
- 2. Realistic and Manageable**– consider the context and timeliness as well as the appropriateness of the proposed initiative.
- 3. Alignment to Strategy 2021 and School Objectives** – consider how the research contributes to Strategy 2021 and/or school objectives.

The Criteria headings will have drop down boxes with the option to select a score of 1 – 3.

- 1** the application does not meet this criterion.
- 2** the application meets the criterion but with weaknesses.
- 3** the application meets this criterion.

**Totals** – The scores from the criteria will be totalled in this box.

**Fundable/Non Fundable** – Please indicate whether you think the project should or should not be funded.

**Comments** – It is important for feedback to applicants that comments are provided. Comments should be reflective of the scoring and be constructive.

**Reviewers should aim to:**

- Provide clear and concise comments
- Clearly identify strengths and weaknesses
- Give justification for overall markings
- Provide constructive criticism.